

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/649,561	08	8/26/2003	Kenzo Matsumoto	JCLA11669	5544
7	7590 08/25/2004			EXAMINER	
J.C. Patents	ts			JIANG, CHEN WEN	
Suite 250 4 Venture	;			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Irvine, CA	2618			3744	<del></del>
				DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/649,561	MATSUMOTO ET	AL			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Chen-Wen Jiang	3744				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day; vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 A	ugust 2003.					
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3)□							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	wn from consideration.					
Applicati	on Papers						
10)⊠ -	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 August 2003</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a) accepted or b) objected or b) obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document: application from the International Bureautee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage			
Attocher	We).	·					
Attachment  1) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate	D-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/649,561 Page 2

Art Unit: 3744

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-20, drawn to refrigerant cycling device, classified in class 62, subclass 470.
- II. Claims 21-26, drawn to compressor, classified in class 418, subclass 60.

  The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 2. Inventions Group I and Group II are related as combination and subcombination.

  Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination as claimed does not require accumulator, supporting member or partition plate. The subcombination has separate utility such as different cycling device as disclosed in the different figures.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/649,561 Page 3

Art Unit: 3744

5. Should Applicant elect the Group I combination claims, the following election of species is required.

6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I-A: Fig.2

Species I-B: Fig.4

Species I-C: Fig.5

Species I-D: Fig.6

Species I-E: Fig.7

Species I-F: Fig.8

Species I-G: Fig.11

7. Should Applicant elect the Group II subcombination claims, the following election of species is required.

8. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species II-A: compressor with two rotary compression components, rollers formed in the cylinders and oil hole in the rotational shaft.

Species II-B: compressor with a rotary compression component, an oil accumulator and a return passage.

Species II-C: compressor with two rotary compression components, an oil accumulator and a return passage.

Application/Control Number: 10/649,561 Page 4

Art Unit: 3744

9. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are considered generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 10. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 11. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

Art Unit: 3744

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chen-Wen Jiang whose telephone number is (703) 308-0275. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 7:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Denise Esquivel can be reached on (703) 308-2597. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

C-)-C

Chen-Wen Jiang Primary Examiner